Shri Sushil Kumar H.No.1410, Phase-I, Urban Estate, Dugri Road, Ludhiana.

Versus

.....Appellant

....Respondents

PSÍC

Public Information Officer

O/o Deputy Secretary, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., (PSPCL), Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

Chief Engineer (Technical)/HRD, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., Patiala.

Public Information Officer

O/o Dy.Chief Engineer, Distribution Circle Urban, West, PSPCL, Ludhiana.

Public Information Officer

O/o Dy.Chief Engineer/Enforcement, PSPCL, Ludhiana.

AC No.1617 of 2021

Present: (i) Shri Sushil Kumar, Appellant in person.

- (ii) Shri Pal Singh, Senior Assistant O/o Joint Secretary, Technical-3, PSPCL, Patiala, in person.
- (iii) Shri Jatinder Mohan Bhandari, SDO, along with Shri Nazar Singh, Stenographer, Janta Nagar Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana, in person.
- (iv) Shri S.K. Gpyal, Sr.XEN, Enforcement, PSPCL, Ludhiana in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 10.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the provious bearing

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today through Cisco Webex. Shri Sushil Kumar, appellant comes

present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Shri Pal Singh, Senior Assistant comes present to attend the hearing in person on behalf of the PIO O/o Joint Secretary, Technical-3, PSPCL, Patiala. He states that the information on Points No.1 & 7 has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 23.02.2021 and that decision regarding show cause notice dated 09.04.2019 issued to the appellant by PSPCL has been taken vide order dated 09.02.2021. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant denies receipt of information and as such, Shri Pal Singh also shows the documents already sent to the appellant. The appellant on perusal of the same points out that the documents are unattested and not as per information sought by him.



AC No.1617/2021

The Bench directs the PIO, Chief Engineer (Technical)/HRD, PSPCL, Patiala to supply information addressing the issues raised by appellant in point No.1 and 7 of his RTI application.

4. Shri Jatinder Mohan Bhandari, SDO and Shri Nazar Singh, Stenographer, Janta Nagar Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana come present in person. Shri Nazar Singh, representative of PIO states that the appellant has been informed that information on point No.2 is not traceable and affidavit to this effect was also given to the appellant but he refused to accept the same. Regarding Point No.3, Shri Nazar Singh states that the input has been sent to the appellant and has also brought along a copy of the same. He shows it to the appellant, who observes that it is not legible. Regarding Point No.5, representative of PIO states that record is not traceable and appellant has been intimated and regarding Point No.6, no action has been taken by PSPCL.

5. Shri S.K. Goyal, Sr.XEN, Enforcement, PSPCL Patiala comes present in person and states that his office deals with part of information sought under Point No.4 and relates to supply of copy of ECR, the same has been sent to the appellant. The appellant points out in addition in point No.4, he has also sought copy of notices sent to the consumers for charging penalty as also the copies of deposit receipts of penalty and further, the financial loss caused to PSPCL after the penalty amounts were deposited by defaulter consumers. Shri Nazar Singh shows him the said documents, but the appellant does not accept the same and states that the same are not legible.

6. Post deliberations, the PIO O/o Dy.Chief Engineer, Techncal-3, PSPCL, Patiala and the PIO O/o Dy.Chief Engineer/Enforcement, PSPCL, Ludhiana are directed to supply complete information addressing each point of his RTI application, to the appellant before the next hearing duly attested by the PIO concerned.

7. The PIO O/o Dy.Chief Engineer, Techncal-3, PSPCL, Patiala and the PIO O/o Dy.Chief Engineer/Enforcement, PSPCL, Ludhiana are also directed to file an affidavit separately on a non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public stating therein the information sought has been supplied to the appellant addressing each point relating to them in consonance with the RTI application as also to mention in detail issues on which official record is not traceable in their offices. The original affidavit along with its photocopy as also the copy of the information supplied be brought along by the respective PIOs and produced before the Bench on the next hearing.



AC No.1617/2021

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

-3-

Chandigarh 02.11.2021 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.



Ph: 0172-2864116, Email: - psic26@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>, Cisco Webex Code:15857-23975 Helpline No.0172-2864100(From 10.00 AM to 04.00 PM on working days)

Shri R.C. Tandon, # 146, 146m Urban Estate, PO Chachoki, Phagwara, District Kapurthala.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Jalandhar Development Authority Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority-cum-O/o Estate Officer

Jalandhar Development Authority Jalandhar.

Public Information Officer, O/o Estate Officer, Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar.

....Respondents

AC No.1522 of 2021

Present: (i) Shri R.C. Tandon, Appellant. (ii) Shri Sanjeev Sharma, SDO, Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar, PIO/Respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 10.08.2021 passed by this Bench on the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Shri R.C. Tandon comes present to attend the hearing in person.

3. Shri Sanjeev Sharma, SDO O/o J.D.A. Jalandhar comes present to attend the hearing in person. He states that the RTI application was received on 24.07.2021 and further transferred under Section 5(4) to the Estate Officer, Jalandhar Development Authority on 31.07.2020. Information was received from him vide letter dated 02.02.2021 and sent to the appellant by registered post on 14.02.2021. But the appellant was not satisfied with the information. Reminders were sent to APIO O/o Estate Officer, J.D.A. who sent reply vide letter dated 09.08.2021 which was forwarded to the appellant on 13.08.2021.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the appellant Shri R.C. Tandon states that he has received information on points No.1 & 2 and that earlier he was not supplied copy of approved plan as desired as per

his RTI application, but now, he has received the said plan. He, however, states that he has not received copy

Cont...P-2

AC No.1522/2021

of noting sheet/report from field Engineers. He further states that Shri Swarna Ram is the concerned official who is the APIO now and earlier, he was dealing with the matter and is well conversant with the facts of the case. He is deliberately trying to conceal the notings as they point to corrupt practices in the Department.

-2-

4. The Bench observes that PIO O/o Estate Officer, Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar was impleaded as Respondent No.2 vide order dated 10.08.2021and directed to supply the complete information to the appellant before the next hearing as also to ensure to come present before the Bench but he has failed to do so. Now the APIO (Shri Swarna Ram, Superintendent), O/o Estate Officer, J.D.A. Jalandhar is directed to supply information to the appellant regarding point No.3 before next hearing as also to bring along the entire relevant record and produce the same before the Bench. He is also directed to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.1522 of 2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. The original affidavit along with its photocopy be also brought along and produced before the Bench.

5. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 02.11.2021 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to (By Regd.Post)

Assistant Public Information Officer, (Shri Swarna Ram, Superintendent), O/o Estate Officer, Jalandhar Development Authority, Jalandhar

Shri Tejinder Singh Civil Courts, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur-144410 District Jalandhar.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority(GLADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.1453 of 2021

Appellant- absent Present: (i)

None on behalf of the PIO/Respondent (ii)

ORDER

(Heard on Mobile phone)

1. This order may be read with reference to the order dated 10.08.2021 passed by this Bench on

the previous hearing.

2. The case has been heard today in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Shri Tejinder Singh has not come present to attend the hearing in person. When contacted on mobile phone, he states that he had received the information vide letter dated 09.08.2021 and he has pointed out deficiencies to the PIO vide his letter dated 02.09.2021. The deficiencies have not been addressed.

3. Neither the PIO has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing in person or through Cisco Webex.

The Bench observes that in spite of directions of the Bench, neither the PIO has come 4. present along with the relevant record before the Bench nor he has supplied rectified information to the appellant by removing the deficiencies pointed out. The Bench views the attitude of the PIO adversely.

5. The PIO O/o Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for failing to appear for the hearing and for not supplying complete

Cont...P-2





AC No.1453/2021

information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

6. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.

7. The PIO is further directed to supply complete information to the appellant before the next hearing and bring along all the case related documents and produce the same before the Bench on the next hearing as also to ensure to come present in person before the Bench.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 02.11.2021 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to (By Regd.Post)

Public Information Officer O/o Estate Officer Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

Shri Jasbir Singh Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh, District Ludhiana-141123

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Chief Administrator, Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Chief Administrator, Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.3446 of 2021

Present: (i) Appellant- absent.

(ii) None on behalf of the PIO/Respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 16.03.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 13.05.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.11.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

3. Neither the PIO has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing in person or through Cisco Webex.

4. Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is contacted on mobile phone. On the asking of the Bench, Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant states that he has not received any information so far.

5. The Bench observes that the RTI application of the appellant is dated 16.03.2021 and First Appeal is dated 13.05.2021 and second appeal has been filed on 02.08.2021. In spite of lapse of a period of seven months, the PIO has not supplied any information to the appellant nor has attend the hearing in person. This indifferent attitude of the PIO is viewed adversely. The PIO O/o Chief Administrator, Greater





AC No.3446/2021

Ludhiana Area Development Authority, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for failing to appear for the hearing and for not supplying information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

6. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.

7. The PIO is further directed to supply complete information to the appellant and bring along all the case related documents and produce the same before the Bench on the next hearing as also to ensure to come present before the Bench in person.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 02.11.2021 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to (By Regd.Post)

Public Information Officer O/o Estate Officer Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.



Shri Darshan Singh S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh R/o Near Airtel Tower, VPO Toosa, Tehsil Raikot, District Ludhiana-141105.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sub Divisional Officer, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., (PSPCL), Sudhar, Teshil Raikot, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Engineer Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., (PSPCL), Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.3452 of 2021

Present: (i) Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta on behalf of the Appellant. (ii) Shri Jasjit Singh, SDO, PSPCL, Sudhar, District Ludhiana on behalf of the PIO/Respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

(Heard in person/through Cisco Webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 09.03.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 12.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.11.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Darshan Singh, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing. However, Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, attends the hearing through Cisco Webex on behalf of the appellant.

3. Shri Jasjit Singh, SDO, PSPCL, Sudhar, District Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing in person on behalf of the PIO. He produces authority letter to attend the hearing. He states that the appellant has sought action taken report on a complaint made by him regarding a joint tublewell connection allotted in the name of brother of the appellant- Shri Chamkaur Singh and the information has been supplied to the appellant on 27.10.2020 and that the appellant had also received that information.



AC No.3452/2021

4. On the asking of the Bench, Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, representative of the appellant states that the appellant has received the information being referred to in another appeal case, after which the RTI application was filed by the appellant as the said information was incomplete.

5. Post deliberations, the PIO is directed to call the appellant or his representative to the office and allow him access to the relevant official records. The PIO is directed to supply the information to the appellant as may be identified by him after inspection of records, before the next hearing.

6. After supplying the information, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.3452 of 2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 02.11.2021 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Darshan Singh S/o Shri Gurcharan Singh R/o Near Airtel Tower, VPO Toosa, Tehsil Raikot, District Ludhiana-141105.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Sub Divisional Officer, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., (PSPCL), Sudhar, Teshil Raikot, District Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Engineer Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., (PSPCL), Dakha, Distt. Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.3451 of 2021

Present: (i) Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta on behalf of the Appellant. (ii) Shri Jasjit Singh, SDO, PSPCL, Sudhar, District Ludhiana on behalf of the PIO/Respondent, in person.

<u>ORDER</u>

(Heard in person/through Cisco Webex)

1. The RTI application is dated 09.03.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 12.06.2021 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.11.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Shri Darshan Singh, appellant has not come present to attend the hearing. However, Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, attends the hearing through Cisco Webex on behalf of the appellant.

3. Shri Jasjit Singh, SDO, PSPCL, Sudhar, District Ludhiana comes present to attend the hearing in person on behalf of the PIO. He produces authority letter to attend the hearing. He states that the appellant has sought action taken report on a complaint made by him regarding a joint tublewell connection allotted in the name of brother of the appellant- Shri Chamkaur Singh and the information has been supplied to the appellant on 27.10.2020 and that the appellant had also received that information.



AC No.3451/2021

4. On the asking of the Bench, Shri Rakesh Kumar Gupta, representative of the appellant states that the appellant has received the information being referred to in another appeal case, after which the RTI application was filed by the appellant as the said information was in complete.

5. Post deliberations, the PIO is directed to call the appellant or his representative to the office and allow him access to the relevant official records. The PIO is directed to supply the information to the appellant as may be identified by him after inspection of records, before the next hearing.

6. After supplying the information, the PIO is directed to file an affidavit on non-judicial stamp paper duly signed by the PIO and attested by the Notary Public to the effect that the information as available in the official records in AC No.3451 of 2021 has been supplied to the appellant. It be further stated that nothing has been concealed therein and the statement made is true and correct. Original affidavit be sent to the appellant and copy of the same be sent to the Commission for record.

7. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 02.11.2021 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Himanshu Raj,Advocate C/o #102, Sector-10A, Chandigarh.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Grater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority O/o Grater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.3449 of 2021

Present: (i) Smt. Sapna Randhawa, on behalf of the Appellant. (ii) None on behalf of the PIO/Respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 11.02.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 12.04.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.11.2021 through Cisco Webex. Accordingly, the case has been heard today through Cisco Webex. Smt. Sapna Randhawa comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the appellant. She states that information has been received from the PIO but the appellant is yet to examine it.

3. Neither the PIO has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing in person before the Bench. On perusal of case file, the Bench observes that a letter dated 08.10.2021 is available in the case file stating that the information comprising 679 pages has been supplied to the appellant.

4. On the asking of the Bench, the representative of appellant states that the appellant has received the information but he has yet to go through the information received so as to point out deficiencies, if any, to the PIO or accepts it in totality and seeks another hearing.



-2-

AC No.3449/2021

5. The PIO is directed to supply the complete information to the appellant after removing the deficiencies as may be pointed out by the appellant, before the next hearing and come present in person before the Bench.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on
15.11.2021 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 02.11.2021 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Himanshu Raj,Advocate C/o #102, Sector-10A, Chandigarh.

.....Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Grater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.

....Respondents

AC No.3447 of 2021

Present: (i) Smt. Sapna Randhawa, on behalf of the Appellant. (ii) None on behalf of the PIO/Respondent

<u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 15.10.2019 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 25.02.2020 and the second appeal was filed in the Commission on 02.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. The notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 02.11.2021 in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Accordingly, the case has been heard today. Smt. Sapna Randhawa comes present to attend the hearing in person before the Bench on behalf of the appellant. She states that information has not been provided to the appellant yet.

3. Neither the PIO has come present to attend the hearing nor he has deputed any of his representatives to attend the hearing in person before the Bench.

4. The Bench observes that the RTI application of the appellant is dated 15.10.2029 and First Appeal is dated 25.05.2020 and second appeal has been filed on 02.08.2021. In spite of the lapse of period of about two years, the PIO has not supplied any information to the appellant nor has attended the hearing in person today. This indifferent attitude of the PIO is viewed adversely. The PIO O/o Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority, Ludhiana is issued show cause notice to explain his absence and as to why action should not be taken against him and also as to why penalty should not be imposed in the instant case for

Cont...P-2



AC No.3447/2021

failing to appear for the hearing and for not supplying information to the appellant. He is also directed to file a written reply to the show cause notice as to why the appellant should not be compensated for the mental harassment suffered by him in getting the information.

5. In addition to the written reply, PIO is hereby given an opportunity u/s 20(1) proviso thereto for a personal hearing before the imposition of such a penalty, on the next date of hearing. He may take note that in case he does not file the written reply and does not avail himself of the opportunity of personal hearing on the next date fixed, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say and the Commission shall proceed to take further proceeding against him ex-parte.

7. The PIO is further directed to supply complete information to the appellant before next hearing and bring along all the case related documents and produce the same before the Bench on the next hearing as also to ensure to come present before the Bench in person.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the matter is adjourned. To come up for hearing on 02.02.2022 at 11.30 AM before the Bench in Commission's office at Chandigarh. Copies of the order be sent to the concerned parties.

Chandigarh 02.11.2021 Sd/-(Lt Gen Ajae Kumar Sharma (Retd)) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Copy to (By Regd.Post)

Public Information Officer O/o Estate Officer Greater Ludhiana Area Development Authority (GLADA), Ludhiana.